### 2ac – Solvency EXTN – AT: Court = Secret

#### The court would release information from trials – that solves.

Opderbeck, Seton Hall University law professor, 2013

[David, 8-2013, “Drone Courts” <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2305315>, p.54, accessed 8-28-13, TAP]

A second practical objection is that drone strikes typically¶ involve top secret and other

AND

from¶ public scrutiny, even if specific operational details must be redacted.

#### Creation of the court is sufficient to solve credibility and shape norms.

Wexler, University of Illinois law professor, 2013

[Lesley, 5-8-13, “The Role of the Judicial Branch during the Long War: Drone Courts, Damage Suits, and FOIA Requests” <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2262412>, p.1-2, accessed 5-14-13, TAP]

Critics of the status quo would like greater transparency and accountability in regards to tar

AND

proposed the use of courts to foster either transparency or accountability or both.

### 2ac – Solvency EXTN – AT: Rubber Stamp

#### Plan still solves.

Daskal, Georgetown Center on national security and the law professor, 2013

[Jennifer, 161 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1165, “The Geography of the Battlefield: A Framework for Detention and Targeting Outside the 'Hot' Conflict” http://digitalcommons.wcl.american.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1252&context=facsch\_lawrev

Zone, p.1222, accessed 12-16-13, TAP]

That said, there is a reasonable fear that any such court or review board

AND

features in and of themselves can lead to increased¶ reflection and restraint.

Additional accountability mechanisms, such as civil or criminal sanctions¶ in the event of material misrepresentations or omissions, the granting of¶ far-reaching authority to the relevant Inspectors General, and meaningful¶ ex post review by Article III courts,182 are also needed to help further¶ minimize abuse.

### 2ac – Security K – AT: China Reps

#### Perm solves – rigid rejection of “China threat” gets warped into a new orthodoxy and fuels extremism. Recognizing plural interpretations and linkages is more productive.

Callahan, University of Manchester politics professor, 2005

[William, Review of International Studies / Volume 31 / Issue 04 / October 2005, “How to understand China: the dangers and opportunities of being a rising power” Cambridge Journals Online, p.711-2, accessed 9-30-13, TAP]

Although ‘China threat theory’ is ascribed to the Cold War thinking of foreigners who

AND

more interesting to examine the debates that produced the threat/opportunity dynamic.

#### No self-fulfilling prophecy

Blumenthal, current commissioner and former vice chairman of the U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission, 10-3-11

(Dan, “The Top 10 Unicorns of China Policy,” http://www.foreignpolicy.com/articles/2011/10/03/the\_top\_ten\_unicorns\_of\_china\_policy?page=full, accessed 10-5-11, CMM)

Here are my own top 10 China-policy unicorns: The self-fulfilling

AND

most fantastical claim about China policy and thus the No. 1 unicorn.

#### Framing is irrelevant.

Reiter, Emory University political science professor, 1995

[Dan, International Security, Vol. 20, No. 2, “Exploding the Powder Keg Myth: Preemptive Wars Almost Never Happen” JSTOR, p.15-16, accessed 10-7-13, TAP]

A criticism of assessing the frequency of preemptive wars by looking only at wars themselves

AND

cancer, this is not demonstrated by the results of such a test.

### AT: Heg Bad – Imperialism

#### Prefer proximate causes for war – imperialism doesn’t escalate.

Joshua Goldstein, American University International Relations Professor, 2001, “War and Gender: How Gender Shapes the War System and Vice Versa,” p.411-412

I began this book hoping to contribute in some way to a deeper understanding of

AND

on injustice as the main cause of war seems to be empirically inadequate.

#### The world is less violent because of heg.

Busby, University of Texas public policy professor, 2012

[Josh, 1-3-12, “Get Real! Chicago IR guys out in force” <http://www.whiteoliphaunt.com/duckofminerva/2012/01/get-real-chicago-ir-guys-out-in-force.html>, accessed 9-28-13, TAP]

Is Unipolarity Peaceful? As evidence, Monteiro provides metrics of the number of years

AND

that makes other states insecure, even though they can’t balance against it.

#### Unipolarity empirically reduces the likelihood of conflict

Drezner, Professor of International Politics at the Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy at Tufts University, 13

(Daniel, “Military Primacy Doesn’t Pay (Nearly As Much As You Think),” International Security, Volume 38, Number 1, Summer 2013, project muse, accessed 7-14-13, CMM)

The historical evidence further suggests that global and regional systems with a sole superpower have

AND

the bipolar one where two superpowers fueled rival armies around the world.”94

### AT: Structural Violence

#### Best evidence says modernity solves violence

Pinker, psychology professor at Harvard University, ‘12

[Steven, Current History, Jan, Ebsco EJS]

The decline of violence may be the most significant and least appreciated development in the

AND

and knowledge, we can add its role in the reduction of violence.

#### 5. Their violence impacts don’t escalate.

Hinde and Pulkkinnen, Cambridge psychology professor and University of Jyväskylä psychology professor, 2000

[Robert and Lea,  [DRAFT Background Paper for Working Group 1: HUMAN AGGRESSIVENESS AND WAR, 50th Pugwash Conference On Science and World Affairs: "Eliminating the Causes of War" Queens' College, Cambridge , UK, 3-8 August <http://www.pugwash.org/reports/pac/pac256/WG1draft1.htm>](http://www.pugwash.org/reports/pac/pac256/WG1draft1.htm)]

People are capable of perpetrating the most terrible acts of violence on their fellows.

AND

multiple causes, and the interactions between the causal factors remain largely unexplored.

Preventing war is a prerequisite for justice—its impossible to solve all injustice at the structural level—containing injustices that stem from war is the most ethical action

Elshtain, U Chicago Social and Political Ethics Professor, Princeton University Advanced Study Institute Fellow, Rockefeller Foundation Resident Scholar, Guggenheim Fellow, 2008

[Jean Bethke, “Peace, Order, Justice: Competing Understandings,” Millennium, 36.3, Sage]

We arrive, finally at model III. Let’s call this hard-headed peace

AND

the worst from happening, and to resist the seductive lure of grandiosity.

### 2ac – Militarism K

#### Constructing prior questions doesn’t disprove the aff – it results in generalizations and inaction.

Owen, University of Southampton political theory professor, 2002

[David, Millennium Journal of International Studies, Vol 31 No 3, “Re-orienting International Relations: On Pragmatism, Pluralism, and Practical Reason” Sagepub, accessed 9-30-13, TAP]

Commenting on the ‘philosophical turn’ in IR, Wæver remarks that ‘[a]¶

AND

helped to promote the IR theory wars by motivating this¶ philosophical turn.

The first danger with the philosophical turn is that it has an inbuilt tendency to

AND

it¶ is not the only or even necessarily the most important kind.

The second danger run by the philosophical turn is that because prioritisation of ontology and

AND

the promotion of the pursuit of generality over that of empirical¶ validity.

The third danger is that the preceding two combine to encourage the¶ formation of

AND

first¶ and second dangers, and so a potentially vicious circle arises.

#### No impact and ontology doesn’t come first – it is impossible to lose a sense of being.

Latour, Sciences Po Paris sociology professor, 1993

[Bruno, “We Have Never Been Modern” <http://www.scribd.com/doc/93338653/Bruno-Latour-We-Have-Never-Been-Modern>, p.66-7, accessed 10-3-12, TAP]

Who has forgotten Being? No one, no one ever has, otherwise Nature

AND

a surer guide than Heidegger: ‘Einai gar kai entautha theous.’

#### The alt leads to nuclear war, which outweighs the K

Santoni, philosophy professor at Denison, ‘85

(Ronald, *Nuclear War*, 1985 edition edited by Fox and Groarke, p156-157)

To be sure, Fox sees the need for our undergoing “certain fundamental changes

AND

will ultimate violence be removed as the final arbiter of our planet’s fate.

#### Completely destroying TK authority means the president exercises worse forms of militarism – this card is about Vietnam.

Anderson, Washington University law professor, 2009

[Kenneth, “Targeted Killing in U.S. Counterterrorism Strategy and Law” <http://www.brookings.edu/~/media/research/files/papers/2009/5/11%20counterterrorism%20anderson/0511_counterterrorism_anderson.pdf>, p.9, accessed 12-22-13, TAP]

The United States has long accepted a legal, political, and policy space for

AND

. domestic law quietly and intentionally preserved the category while strengthening the oversight.

This category of force is now an obvious means by which to confront non-

AND

military activity against terrorists or taking no very meaningful action at all.36

#### Aff is in the direction of the alt.

Anderson, American University international law professor, 2013

[Kenneth, June 2013, “The Case for Drones” <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2047537>, p.21, accessed 12-18-13, TAP]

A second observation is to look across the history¶ of precision weapons in the

AND

advance in precision that has cut zeroes off¶ collateral-damage figures.

Those who see only the snapshot of civilian harm¶ today are angered by civilian

AND

villages,¶ left hundreds of thousands without homes, and¶ killed hundreds.

But critics do not typically evaluate drones¶ against the standards of the artillery barrage

AND

¶ of whether one ought to use force in counterterrorism¶ at all.

#### The critique misreads institutions – the political incentives have already normalized violence – the plan contains the use of that violence.

Anderson, Washington University law professor, 2009

[Kenneth, “Targeted Killing in U.S. Counterterrorism Strategy and Law” <http://www.brookings.edu/~/media/research/files/papers/2009/5/11%20counterterrorism%20anderson/0511_counterterrorism_anderson.pdf>, p.8, accessed 12-22-13, TAP]

The same criticism is offered of evolving robotic technology that increasingly allows targeted uses of

AND

up the list, with each upward step making war more likely.”32

Whatever the critics say, however, is unlikely to sway U.S.

AND

much less destructive and so discriminating as to be too easy to undertake.

The result is a strategic and moral incentive for targeted killing and for increasing the quality of technology to make targeted killings both more precision-targeted and more standoff. Precision targeting and standoff delivery are each independently desirable and, in combination, considerably increase this incentive.

### AT: Global War/Target K

#### Global war does not result from a Western desire for control---it results from lack of clearly defined strategic imperatives---the aff is necessary to reclaim the political

Chandler, University of Westminster international relations professor, 2009

[David, Security Dialogue, “War Without End(s): Grounding the Discourse of `Global War'” <http://www.davidchandler.org/pdf/journal_articles/Security%20Dialogue%20-%20War%20without%20End(s).pdf>, p.257-9, accessed 10-7-13, TAP]

Western governments appear to portray some of the distinctive characteristics that Schmitt attributed to ‘

AND

lack of clear contestation involving the strategic accommodation of diverse powers and interests.

### AT: Imperialism Alt

The alternative is utopian – this means it fails because it is infinitely deferrable.

Rasch, Indiana University Germanic studies professor, 2003

[William, Cultural Critique No. 54 Spring, “Human Rights as Geopolitics: Carl Schmitt and the Legal Form of American Supremacy” Jstor, p.143-4, accessed 5-12-12, TAP]

But while affirmative theorists like Habermas and Rawls are busy constructing the ideological scaffolding that

AND

the negative image of the relentless search for the "inhuman" other.

### AT: Alt – Rethink Fails

The alternative does nothing in the face of what it critiques – it reveals a disavowed desire to allow things to remain the same.

Prozorov, University of Helsinki international relations professor, 2007

[Sergei, “The World Community and the Closure of the Political: How to Overcome Carl Schmitt” <http://turin.sgir.eu/uploads/Prozorov-prozorov_world_community_2007.pdf>, p.1, accessed 5-15-12, TAP]

Contemporary political theory exhibits a curious attachment to its central concepts, which increasingly function

AND

, insofar as no end to this process is visible or even imaginable.

### AT: Envo

#### 1) The environment is indestructible and resilient

Gregg Easterbrook, Brookings Economic and Governance Studies Fellow, ‘95

[*A Moment on the Earth*, 25-26]

In the aftermath of events such as Love Canal or the Exxon Valdez oil spill

AND

anything approaching the environmental damage nature inflicts on itself on a recurrent basis.